

Verma modules over a Block Lie algebra

Qifen Jiang¹⁾, Yuezhu Wu^{1,2)}

¹⁾Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

²⁾Department of Mathematics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, China

Abstract. Let \mathcal{B} be the Lie algebra with basis $\{L_{i,j}, C \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and relations $[L_{i,j}, L_{k,l}] = ((j+1)k - i(l+1))L_{i+k, j+l} + i\delta_{i,-k}\delta_{j+l,-2}C$, $[C, L_{i,j}] = 0$. It is proved that an irreducible highest weight \mathcal{B} -module is quasifinite if and only if it is a proper quotient of a Verma module. For an additive subgroup Γ of \mathbb{F} , there corresponds to a Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ of Block type. Given a total order \succ on Γ and a weight Λ , a Verma $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ -module $M(\Lambda, \succ)$ is defined. The irreducibility of $M(\Lambda, \succ)$ is completely determined.

Key words: Verma modules, Lie algebras of Block type, irreducibility, quasifinite modules

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 17B10, 17B65, 17B68.

§1. Introduction

Since a class of infinite dimensional simple Lie algebras was introduced by Block [B], generalizations of Block algebras have been studied by some authors, partially because they are closely related to the Virasoro algebra or algebras associated quantum plane (e.g., [DZ, LW, OZ, S1–S4, SZ, X1, X2, ZM]).

For an additive subgroup Γ of a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0, we consider in this paper the Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ of Block type with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i}, C \mid \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] &= ((i+1)\beta - (j+1)\alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j} + \alpha\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}\delta_{i+j,-2}C, \\ [C, L_{\alpha,i}] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

This particular Block type Lie algebra attracts our attention because it is also a special case of Cartan type S Lie algebras (e.g., [X, SX1]), or Poisson (or Hamiltonian) algebras (e.g., [X, S6, SX2]). One of our motivations to study representations of this Lie algebra is to better understand representations of Lie algebras of 4 families of Cartan type.

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ is Γ -graded (but not finitely graded):

$$\mathcal{B}(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_{\alpha}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_{\alpha} = \text{span}\{L_{\alpha,i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} + \delta_{\alpha,0}\mathbb{F}C. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_0$ is an infinite dimensional commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ (but it is not a Cartan subalgebra). For a total order “ \succ ” on Γ compatible with its group structure (in case $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, we always choose the normal order on \mathbb{Z}), we denote $\Gamma_{\pm} = \{x \in \Gamma \mid \pm x \succ 0\}$. Then $\Gamma = \Gamma_+ \cup \{0\} \cup \Gamma_-$, and we have the *triangular decomposition*

$$\mathcal{B}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_- \oplus \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_0 \oplus \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_+, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\pm\alpha \succ 0} \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_{\alpha}.$$

Thus we have the notion of a Verma module $M(\Lambda, \succ)$ with respect to a function, called a *weight*, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_0^*$ (the dual space of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_0$) and the order “ \succ ” (cf. (2.1)).

A weight Λ is described by the *central charge* $c = \Lambda(C)$ and its *labels* $\Lambda_i = \Lambda(L_{0,i-1})$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we introduce the *j-th generating series*

$$\Delta_\Lambda^{(j)}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{i+j}}{i!} z^i. \quad (1.3)$$

A function $\Delta(z)$ is called a *quasipolynomial* if it is a linear combination of functions of the form $p(z)e^{\alpha z}$, where $p(z) \in \mathbb{F}[z]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$. Recall [KL, KR] the well-known characterization that a formal power series is a quasipolynomial if and only if it satisfies a nontrivial linear differential equation with constant coefficients.

A $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ -module V is *quasifinite* if V is finitely Γ -graded, namely,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} V_\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_\alpha V_\beta \subset V_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \dim V_\alpha < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Quasifinite modules are closely studied by some authors, e.g., [KL, KR, KWW, LZ, S3, S4]. Quasifinite irreducible modules over the Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}'(\Gamma)$ are proved to be a highest or lowest weight module in [S5], where

$$\mathcal{B}'(\Gamma) = \text{span}\{L_{\alpha,i}, C \mid \alpha \in \Gamma, i \geq -1\} \quad (1.4)$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$.

Since each grading space $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_\alpha$ in (1.2) is infinite-dimensional, the classification of quasifinite modules is a nontrivial problem as pointed in [KL, KR]. Thus the classification of graded modules with infinite dimensional grading spaces is a nontrivial problem as well.

The main results in this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose V is an irreducible highest weight $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z})$ -module of weight Λ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) V is quasifinite;
- (2) V is a proper quotient of the Verma module $M(\Lambda, \succ)$;
- (3) $\Sigma_\Lambda^{(j)}(z) := z\Delta_\Lambda^{(-j)}(z) - j\Delta_\Lambda^{(-j-1)}(z) - \frac{c}{j!}z^j$ is a quasipolynomial and satisfies the same nontrivial linear differential equation with constant coefficients for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $M(\Lambda, \succ)$ be the Verma $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ -module with highest weight Λ (cf. (2.1)).*

(1) With respect to a dense order “ \succ ” of Γ (cf. (2.8)),

$$M(\Lambda, \succ) \text{ is irreducible} \iff \Lambda \neq 0.$$

Moreover, in case $\Lambda = 0$, the submodule

$$\begin{aligned} M'(0, \succ) &= \sum_{k>0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in G_+} \mathbb{F} L_{-\alpha_1, i_1} \cdots L_{-\alpha_k, i_k} v_0 \quad \text{is irreducible} \\ \iff \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma_+, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ such that } nx \succ y. \end{aligned}$$

(2) With respect to a discrete order “ \succ ” (cf. (2.9)),

$$M(\Lambda, \succ) \text{ is irreducible} \iff \mathcal{B}(a\mathbb{Z})\text{-module } M_a(\Lambda, \succ) \text{ is irreducible.}$$

§2. Proof of the main result

Let Γ be any additive subgroup of \mathbb{F} . Denote by $U = U(\mathcal{B}(\Gamma))$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$. For any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_0^*$, let $I(\Lambda, \succ)$ be the left ideal of U generated by the elements

$$\{L_{\alpha, i} \mid \alpha \succ 0, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{h - \Lambda(h) \cdot 1 \mid h \in \mathcal{B}(\Gamma)_0\}.$$

Then the Verma $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ -module with respect to the order “ \succ ” is defined as

$$M(\Lambda, \succ) = U/I(\Lambda, \succ), \tag{2.1}$$

which has a basis consisting of all vectors of the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-\alpha_1, i_1} L_{-\alpha_2, i_2} \cdots L_{-\alpha_k, i_k} v_\Lambda, \quad \text{where } i_j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \prec \alpha_1 \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \alpha_k, \\ \text{and } i_s \leq i_{s+1} \text{ if } \alpha_s = \alpha_{s+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where v_Λ is the coset of 1 in $M(\Lambda, \succ)$. Thus $M(\Lambda, \succ)$ is a *highest weight* $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ -module in the sense

$$M(\Lambda, \succ) = \oplus_{\alpha \preccurlyeq 0} M_\alpha, \tag{2.3}$$

where $M_0 = \mathbb{F}v_\Lambda$, and M_α for $\alpha \prec 0$ is spanned by vectors in (2.2) with $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k = -\alpha$. So it is a Γ -graded $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ -module with

$$\dim M_{-\alpha} = \infty \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Gamma_+. \tag{2.4}$$

For any $a \in \Gamma$, we denote

$$\mathcal{B}(a\mathbb{Z}) = \text{span}\{L_{na, k}, C \mid n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{2.5}$$

which is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z})$. We also denote

$$M_a(\Lambda, \succ) = \mathcal{B}(a\mathbb{Z})\text{-submodule of } M(\Lambda, \succ) \text{ generated by } v_\Lambda. \tag{2.6}$$

Denote

$$B(\alpha) = \{\beta \in \Gamma \mid 0 \prec \beta \prec \alpha\} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \Gamma_+. \quad (2.7)$$

The order “ \succ ” is called *dense* if

$$\#B(\alpha) = \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma_+, \quad (2.8)$$

it is *discrete* if

$$B(a) = \emptyset \quad \text{for some } a \in \Gamma_+. \quad (2.9)$$

Theorem 1.2 is an analog of a result in [WS] (where the Lie algebras $\mathcal{B}'(\Gamma)$ were considered instead of $\mathcal{B}(\Gamma)$). Since the proof is similar to that in [WS], we omit the detail.

Now suppose $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, and from now on, we shall only consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (2.10)$$

The Lie algebra \mathcal{B} has a nice realization in the space $\mathbb{F}[x^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{F}C$ by setting

$$L_{i,j} = x^i t^{j+1} \quad \text{for any } i, j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with the bracket

$$[x^i f(t), x^j g(t)] = x^{i+j} (j f'(t) g(t) - i f(t) g'(t)) + i \delta_{i,-j} \text{Res}_t t^{-1} f(t) g(t), \quad (2.11)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t^{\pm 1}]$, where the prime stands for the derivative $\frac{d}{dt}$, and $\text{Res}_t f(t)$ stands for the *residue* of the Laurent polynomial $f(t)$, namely the coefficient of t^{-1} in $f(t)$. Denote by $M(\Lambda)$ the Verma \mathcal{B} -module with highest weight vector v_Λ , and by $L(\Lambda) = M(\Lambda)/M'$ the irreducible highest weight module of weight Λ , where M' is the maximal proper submodule of $M(\Lambda)$. Set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a \in \mathcal{B} \mid av_\Lambda \in M'\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}_0. \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_+ \subset \mathcal{A}$, and \mathcal{P} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 1.1 will follow from the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $M(\Lambda)$ is reducible.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}_{-1} \neq \{0\}$.
- (3) There exists $0 \neq f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j t^j \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{F}$, such that (where $a_{-k} = 0$ if $k > 0$ or $k < -m$)

$$\Lambda((t^k f(t))' - a_{-k} C) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.13)$$

- (4) $\Sigma_{\Lambda}^{(j)}(z) := z\Delta_{\Lambda}^{(-j)}(z) - j\Delta_{\Lambda}^{(-j-1)}(z) - \frac{c}{j!}z^j$ is a quasipolynomial and satisfies the same nontrivial linear differential equation with constant coefficients for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (5) $L(\Lambda)$ is quasifinite.

Proof. We shall follow some arguments in [S1].

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Assume $M' \neq 0$. Similar to the proof in [WS], we can prove that \mathcal{P} is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathcal{B} , namely,

$$\mathcal{P} \supset \mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_+ \neq \mathcal{P}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_{-1} = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_{-1} \neq 0.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $f(t)$ be the monic polynomial, called the *characteristic polynomial* of \mathcal{P} , with minimal degree such that $x^{-1}f(t) \in \mathcal{P}$ (cf. [S1, KL]). Set $a = x^{-1}f(t)$. Then from

$$[t^{-1}, x^{-1}tf(t)] = x^{-1}t^{-1}f(t), \quad [t^k, x^{-1}f(t)] = -kx^{-1}t^{k-1}f(t) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.14)$$

we have $\mathcal{P}_{-1} = x^{-1}f(t)\mathbb{F}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Write $f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j t^j$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_j \in \mathbb{F}$. From definition (2.12), we see M' is a proper submodule. We have $b \cdot av_{\Lambda} = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_+$. In particular

$$[xt^k, x^{-1}f(t)]v_{\Lambda} = \Lambda(-(t^k f(t))' + a_{-k}C) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Suppose we have (2.13). Using $e^{zt} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^i}{i!} t^i$ as a generating series of $\mathbb{F}[t]$, noting that $f(t)e^{zt} = f(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})e^{zt}$, by (2.13) and (2.11), we have (recall that the prime stands for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and the definition of $\Delta_{\Lambda}^{(j)}(z)$ in (1.3)),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Lambda((f(t)t^{-j}e^{zt})' - \text{Res}_t t^{-j-1}f(t)e^{zt}C) \\ &= \Lambda((f(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})t^{-j}e^{zt})' - \text{Res}_t t^{-j-1}f(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})e^{zt}C) \\ &= \Lambda(-jf(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})t^{-j-1}e^{zt} + f(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})zt^{-j}e^{zt}) - f(\frac{d}{dz})\frac{z^j}{j!}C \\ &= -jf(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})\Lambda(t^{-j-1}e^{zt}) + f(\frac{\partial}{\partial z})z\Lambda(t^{-j}e^{zt}) - f(\frac{d}{dz})\frac{z^j}{j!}C \\ &= -jf(\frac{d}{dz})\Delta_{\Lambda}^{(-j-1)}(z) + f(\frac{d}{dz})z\Delta_{\Lambda}^{(-j)}(z) - f(\frac{d}{dz})\frac{z^j}{j!}C \\ &= f(\frac{d}{dz})\Sigma_{\Lambda}^{(j)}(z) \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Namely, $\Sigma_{\Lambda}^{(j)}(z)$ is a quasipolynomial by the statement after (1.3).

(4) \Rightarrow (5): Assume we have (2.15). It suffices to prove \mathcal{P}_{-i} has finite codimension in \mathcal{B}_{-i} for all $i > 0$. For this, we only need to prove $\mathcal{P}_{-i} \neq 0$ for all $i > 0$ (then we can proceed as in (2.14) to prove \mathcal{P}_{-i} has finite codimension).

First from definition (2.12), $a \in \mathcal{P}_{-1}$ if and only if $b \cdot a \cdot v_\Lambda = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Then from (2.15), one has

$$x^{-1}t^j f(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{-1} \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.16)$$

this is because $xt^k \cdot x^{-1}t^j f(t) \cdot v_\Lambda = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_1 \cdot x^{-1}t^j f(t) \cdot v_\Lambda = 0$. In particular, from (2.16), one has $\mathcal{P}_{-1} \neq 0$. Assume that $\mathcal{P}_{-i} \neq 0$ and $0 \neq x^{-i}g(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{-i}$. Then

$$x^{-i-1}(-ijt^{j-1}f(t)g(t) - it^j f'(t)g(t) + t^j f(t)g'(t)) = [x^{-1}t^j f(t), x^{-i}g(t)] \in \mathcal{P}_{-i-1},$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_{-i-1} \neq 0$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1): It follows from (2.4). □

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by NSF grants 10571120, 10471096 of China and Yucai Su's grant "One Hundred Talents Program" from University of Science and Technology of China.

References

- [B] R. Block, On torsion-free abelian groups and Lie algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1958), 613–620.
- [DZ] D. Dokovic, K. Zhao, Derivations, isomorphisms and cohomology of generalized Block algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* **3** (1996), 245–272.
- [KL] V. Kac, J. Liberati, Unitary quasifinite representations of W_∞ , *Lett. Math. Phys.* **53** (2000), 11–27.
- [KR] V. Kac, A. Radul, Quasifinite highest weight modules over the Lie algebra of differential operators on the circle, *Comm. Math. phys.* **157** (1993), 429–457.
- [KWY] V. Kac, W. Wang, C.H. Yan, Quasifinite representations of classical Lie subalgebras of $W_{1+\infty}$, *Adv. Math.* **139** (1998), 46–140.
- [LZ] N. Lam, R.B. Zhang, Quasifinite modules for Lie superalgebras of infinite rank, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2005), 403–439.
- [LW] S. Lin, Y. Wu, Representations of the quantized Weyl algebra associated to the quantum plane, *Algebra Colloquium* **12** (2005), 715–720.
- [OZ] J. M. Osborn, K. Zhao, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of generalized Block type, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), 1641–1650.
- [S1] Y. Su, Derivations and structure of the Lie algebras of Xu type, *Manuscripta Math.* **105** (2001), 483–500.

- [S2] Y. Su, Structure of Lie superalgebras of Block type related to locally finite derivations, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3205–3226.
- [S3] Y. Su, Quasifinite representations of a Lie algebra of Block type, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 117–128.
- [S4] Y. Su, Quasifinite representations of a family of Lie algebras of Block type, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **192** (2004), 293–305.
- [S5] Y. Su, Classification of quasifinite modules over the Lie algebras of Weyl type, *Adv. Math.* **174**(2003), 57–68.
- [S6] Y. Su, Poisson brackets and structure of nongraded Hamiltonian Lie algebras related to locally-finite derivations, *Canad. J. Math.* **55** (2003), 856–896.
- [SX1] Y. Su, X. Xu, Structures of divergence-free Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **243** (2001), 557–595.
- [SX2] Y. Su, X. Xu, Central simple Poisson algebras, *Science in China A* **47** (2004), 245–263.
- [SZ] Y. Su, J. Zhou, Structure of the Lie algebras with a feature of Block algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3205–3226.
- [WS] Y. Wu, Y. Su, Highest weight representations of a Lie algebra of Block type, preprint (arXiv: math.QA/0511733).
- [X1] X. Xu, Generalizations of Block algebras, *Manuscripta Math.* **100** (1999), 489–518.
- [X2] X. Xu, Quadratic conformal superalgebras, *J. Algebra* **231** (2000), 1–38.
- [X3] X. Xu, New generalized simple Lie algebras of Cartan type over a field with characteristic 0, *J. Algebra* **224** (2000), 23–58.
- [ZM] L. Zhu, D. Meng, Structure of degenerate Block algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* **10** (2003), 53–62.